



Traffic Impact Analysis Guidelines for Vehicle Miles Traveled and Level of Service Assessment

**Adopted June 16, 2020
Resolution No. 3811**

Table of Contents

Introduction	4
Background Information	5
Is Level of Service Still Important?	5
Purpose.....	6
CEQA Changes	6
Need for Transportation Impact Analysis	7
Need to Complete LOS as part of the TIA Analysis	8
Exemption from VMT Analysis.....	9
Coordination with the City of San Jacinto	10
Level of Service Assessment for General Plan Consistency	11
Methodologies	12
Intersections.....	12
Roadway Segment Assessment.....	12
Study Area Boundaries for LOS assessment	13
Analysis Scenarios	13
Data Collection, Project Trip Generation, and Forecasting Methodologies.....	14
Traffic Counts.....	14
Trip Generation	15
Trip Distribution	15
Trip Forecasts.....	16
Intersection General Plan Consistency Requirements	16
Signalized Intersection Operating Requirements	16
Unsignalized Intersection General Plan Consistency Requirements	17
Roadway Segment General Plan Consistency Requirements	17
Site Access, Safety, and Other Analyses	18
Site Access Analysis	18
Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis	19
Improvements for Transportation Impacts	19
Level of Service Improvements	19
CEQA Assessment - VMT Analysis	21
Analysis Methodology	22
Project Screening	22
VMT Assessment for Non-Screened Development	25
CEQA VMT Impact Thresholds.....	26
VMT Impacts	26

VMT Mitigation Measures	26
CEQA Assessment - Active Transportation and Public Transit Analysis	28
Transportation Impact Study Format	30
Attachments	33
Project Scoping Form	34
Project Identification:	34
Trip Generation Information:	34
Potential Screening Checks.....	35
Level of Service Scoping	36
VMT Scoping	36
Detailed VMT Forecasting Information	37
Quick and Easy	37
Detailed and Complicated.....	38
Appropriateness Checks.....	38



Introduction



Background Information

SB 743, signed by Governor Brown in 2013, changes the way transportation impacts are identified. Specifically, the legislation directed the Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to look at different metrics for identifying transportation as an impact under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Final OPR guidelines were released in December 2018 and identified vehicle miles of travel (VMT) as the preferred metric moving forward. The Natural Resources Agency completed the rule making process to modify the CEQA guidelines in December of 2018.

In anticipation of the change to VMT, the Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG) completed a SB 743 Implementation Pathway Study in 2019 to assist member organizations with answering important implementation questions about the methodology, thresholds, and mitigation approaches for VMT impact analysis. The WRCOG study can be accessed on-line (<http://www.fehrandpeers.com/wrcog-sb743/>) and includes the following main components.

- Thresholds Evaluation Memorandum – Potential thresholds WRCOG agencies could consider when establishing thresholds of significance for VMT assessment
- Methodologies and Calculations Memorandum – Types of VMT that could be considered for impact assessment
- Tools Evaluation Memorandum – Types of tools that could be used to estimate VMT and the pros/cons associated with each tool
- Mitigation Memorandum – Types of mitigation that can be considered for VMT mitigation
- VMT Screening Tool – An on-line GIS tool that can be used for VMT screening

As noted in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.7(b) below, lead agencies are encouraged to formally adopt their significance thresholds and this is key part of the SB 743 implementation process.

(b) Each public agency is encouraged to develop and publish thresholds of significance that the agency uses in the determination of the significance of environmental effects. Thresholds of significance to be adopted for general use as part of the lead agency's environmental review process must be adopted by ordinance, resolution, rule, or regulation, and developed through a public review process and be supported by substantial evidence. Lead agencies may also use thresholds on a case-by-case basis as provided in Section 15064(b)(2).

Is Level of Service Still Important?

The City of San Jacinto has adopted vehicle LOS policies that set standards for which City infrastructure will strive to maintain. These policies are contained in the General Plan and therefore apply to discretionary approvals of new land use and transportation projects. Therefore, these guidelines also include instructions for vehicle LOS analysis consistent with general plan requirements. The LOS guidelines are largely based on the Riverside County guidelines that were developed in April of 2008 and have been updated to reflect state of the practice.



Purpose

When private development occurs, it is the responsibility of government to ensure that there are adequate public facilities to serve increment population and employment growth. For the transportation system, one way to address this issue is the preparation of a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA).

For the past several decades, the preparation of a TIA was integrated into the CEQA process, in which the TIA was used primarily to analyze a project's impacts under CEQA. However, with the passage of SB 743, changes to the TIA process are necessary. Specifically, a TIA may need to be a stand-alone document which is a requirement of project approval and will include information for the decision makers that is not required as part of the CEQA process.

The purpose of Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) Guidelines is to provide general instructions for analyzing the potential transportation impacts of proposed development projects (e.g., General Plan Amendments and zoning changes). These guidelines present the recommended format and methodology that should generally be utilized in the preparation of TIAs. These recommendations are based on Riverside County's most recent TIA Guidelines from April 2008 with updates to comply with the state of the practice advances and new California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) expectations prompted by Senate Bill 743 (SB 743).

CEQA Changes

Since the last TIA Guidelines update, SB 743 was signed into law. A key element of this law is the elimination of auto delay, level of service (LOS), and other similar measures of vehicular capacity or traffic congestion as a basis for determining significant impacts. This change is intended to assist in balancing the needs of congestion management with statewide goals related to infill development, promotion of public health through active transportation, and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

SB 743 contains amendments to current congestion management law that allows cities and counties to effectively opt-out of the LOS standards that would otherwise apply in areas where Congestion Management Plans (CMPs) are still used (including Riverside County). Further, SB 743 required the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to update the CEQA Guidelines and establish criteria for determining the significance of transportation impacts. In December 2018, OPR released their final recommended guidelines based on feedback from the public, public agencies, and various organizations and individuals. OPR recommended Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) as the most appropriate measure of project transportation impacts for land use projects and land use plans. For transportation projects, lead agencies may select their own preferred metric but must support their decision with substantial evidence that complies with CEQA expectations. SB 743 does not prevent a city or county from continuing to analyze delay or LOS outside of CEQA review for other transportation planning or analysis purposes (i.e., general plans, impact fee programs, corridor studies, congestion mitigation, or ongoing network monitoring); but these metrics may no longer constitute the sole basis for CEQA impacts.



Need for Transportation Impact Analysis



The need for a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) may stem from CEQA compliance, general plan consistency, or both. Discretionary actions of public agencies all trigger CEQA review, but whether a TIA is required depends on the findings of the local agency initial study and the potential for the project to cause a significant impact. General plan consistency is required for all discretionary actions as well but local agencies have discretion as to how consistency is determined.

Need to Complete LOS as part of the TIA Analysis

The following activities generally will not require a TIA that includes LOS analysis. This presumption is based on the activities associated with the project (e.g. they are local serving) or the limited trip generation of the project (e.g. projects that generate less than 100 peak hour trips as projects that generate 100 or less trips typically do not affect LOS significantly once distributed to the local roadway network).

- All residential parcel maps
- Single family residential tracts of less than 100 lots
- Apartments and multi-family projects of less than 150 units
- Plot plan and uses cases for projects of one acre or less
- Preschools, local serving elementary schools and local serving middle schools
- Local serving churches, lodges, community centers, neighborhood parks and community parks
- Mini storage yards
- Congregate care facilities that contain significant special services, such as medical facilities, dining facilities, recreation facilities and support retail services
- Any use which can demonstrate trip generation of less than 100 vehicle trips in the peak hour

The City reserves the right to require an applicant to prepare additional traffic analysis based on:

- Presence of an existing or potential safety problem
- Location of the development in an environmentally or otherwise sensitive area, or in an area that is likely to generate public controversy
- Presence of a nearby substandard intersection or street
- Need for a focused study for access/operational issues
- Request from an affected agency, such as Caltrans or adjacent City; if the request is deemed reasonable and appropriate



Exemption from VMT Analysis

The following activities generally will not require a TIA that includes VMT. This presumption is based on the substantial evidence provided in the OPR Technical Advisory supporting SB 743 implementation or is related to projects that are local serving which, by definition, would decrease the number of trips or the distance those trips travel to access the development (and are VMT-reducing projects).

- Projects located in a Transit Priority Areas (TPA) (as defined later in this guidance)
- Projects located in a low-VMT generating area (as defined later in this guidance)
- Local-serving K-12 schools
- Local parks
- Day care centers
- Local-serving gas stations
- Local-serving banks
- Local-serving hotels (e.g. non-destination hotels)
- Student housing projects
- Local serving community colleges that are consistent with the assumptions noted in the RTP/SCS
- Projects generating less than 500 daily vehicle trips
 - This generally corresponds to the following “typical” development potentials:
 - 53 single family housing units
 - 68 multi-family, condominiums, or townhouse housing units
 - 150,000 sq. ft. of local serving commercial
 - 100,000 sq. ft. of office
 - 100,000 sq. ft. of light industrial
 - 300,000 sq. ft. of warehousing
 - 300,000 sq. ft. of high cube transload and short-term storage warehouse



Coordination with the City of San Jacinto

To streamline the TIA preparation and review process, the TIA preparer shall solicit input and approval from the City of San Jacinto prior to the preparation and submittal of a draft TIA document. A TIA "Project Scoping Form", attached, shall be prepared by the Engineer and submitted to the City for approval prior to the preparation of a draft TIA. The Project Scoping Form provides for agreement of the following key points before initiating the TIA.

- Determination of study area, intersections, and roadway links to be analyzed
- Project trip generation, distribution, and assignment
- Presentation of screening criteria used to screen the project from VMT assessment or proposed methodology/metrics that will be applied to estimate VMT
- Use of other approved projects for background traffic, traffic growth assumptions, or integration with RIVCOM travel demand model
- Coordination with adjacent agencies
- For projects within one mile of a state highway, or any project that may add traffic on the state highway, the Engineer shall also coordinate with Caltrans



Level of Service Assessment for General Plan Consistency



Methodologies

The following LOS analysis is required to meet with general plan consistency requirements.

Intersections

The most recent version of the *Highway Capacity Manual* (Transportation Research Board) should be utilized for both signalized and unsignalized intersections. The following parameters should be included in the analysis:

- Saturation Flow Rate consistent with field measurements or 1,900 passenger cars/hour/lane
- Heavy Vehicle Factor based on count data or provided by the City; analyst may use a Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) conversion to reflect heavy vehicles in the volume or incorporate the heavy vehicle factor in the capacity calculation consistent with HCM requirements
- Grade based on existing or proposed grade of the facility
- Minimum green time should be based on existing signal timings (timing sheets provided by the City or collected in the field)
- Cycle lengths should be based on existing signal timings or measured in the field
- Lost time should be based on existing signal timings or consistent with the recommendations from the HCM
- Peak hour factors should be based on count data; future peak hour factor should be 0.95
- Intersections must be evaluated with HCM-consistent software; for locations where closely spaced intersections occur or queues build over space and time (extending to upstream or downstream intersections), microsimulation should be utilized to accurately evaluate the intersections as a system. This may require inclusion of freeway facilities

When developing mitigation, the following recommendations should be considered.

- Exclusive left-turn lanes should be considered when peak hour left-turn volumes exceed 100
- Dual left-turn lanes should be considered when peak hour left-turn volumes exceed 300
- Protected left-turn phasing should be considered when the peak hour left turn volume exceeds 240 vehicles

Roadway Segment Assessment

The City may require roadway segment evaluation in addition to intersection analysis. In those instances, roadway segment capacity should be based on City preferences as documented in their General Plan, General Plan EIR, or equivalent document. If capacities are not identified, then the capacities utilized for roadway segment evaluation should be based on the HCM.



Study Area Boundaries for LOS assessment

In general, the minimum area to be studied should include any intersection of “Collector” or higher classification street, with “Collector” or higher classification streets; at which the proposed project will add 50 or more peak hour trips. In general, the study area should not exceed a five-mile radius from the project site unless evidence is available to justify a larger area. Please note that the City may expand or contract the study area at their discretion.

Analysis Scenarios

The following study scenarios should be included for intersection capacity analysis:

- a) Existing Conditions
- b) Background Conditions – Defined as Opening Year Conditions with traffic from approved projects in the area (note, if there are no or limited approved projects in the area of the project, an ambient growth rate could be considered in lieu of assigning traffic from approved projects in the area)
- c) Background Plus Project Conditions – Defined as background conditions plus traffic from the proposed project
- d) Cumulative No Project Conditions – Defined as ambient growth to the Cumulative Horizon (typically coinciding with the forecast horizon of the RIVCOM travel demand forecasting model) that includes traffic from approved and pending projects in the area
- e) Cumulative Plus Project Conditions – Defined as Cumulative No Project Conditions plus traffic from the proposed project

Phased projects could be evaluated in three ways. First, the analyst can identify which phase of a project triggers a needed improvement based on the comparison of Background Conditions to Background Plus Project Conditions. Alternatively, they can provide a phased assessment looking at opening years of each phase. Finally, for large phased projects, the project as a whole could be evaluated initially; however, subsequent traffic studies would have to be completed for each proposed phase implementation to ensure that improvements are implemented when they are needed. The City should be consulted to identify which approach is most appropriate for a proposed project if phasing is proposed; however, the first option noted above is recommended for most phased projects.

Recommendations for developing Ambient Traffic and Cumulative Traffic are provided in the next section of this document.



Data Collection, Project Trip Generation, and Forecasting Methodologies

The following recommendations pertaining to traffic count collection, project trip development, and traffic forecasting methodologies have been developed to maintain consistency across different TIAs and reflect current state of the practice.

Traffic Counts

Data for existing traffic conditions should be collected for the project using the following guidelines:

- Peak period turning movement counts at all study intersections, roadway segments (if required) and/or driveways, including bicycle and pedestrian counts at intersections with high non-automotive use, should be collected. For intersections with high percentages of heavy vehicles, turning movement counts should count heavy vehicles separately.
- Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for all roadways within study area (if required) and vehicle classification counts in areas with a high percentage of heavy vehicle use.
- Traffic counts should not be used if more than one-year-old without prior approval.
- Traffic data should not be collected on weeks that include a holiday and non-school session time periods unless approved by the City.
- Traffic data should not be collected between Thanksgiving and the first week of the new year without prior approval.
- Traffic counts should be conducted on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, or Thursdays.
- For congested conditions, back of queue estimates by approach (and turning movement) should be conducted every 15 minutes.
- Traffic counts should not be collected in an active construction work-zone.

Unless directed otherwise by the City, counts should be collected during the following time frames presuming the time period captures the beginning and end times of any congested conditions.

- Morning (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.)
- Afternoon/evening (4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.)
- Midday and "School-Release" peak hours – If directed by the Lead Agency
- Other peak hours, off-peak, weekend or special event, may also be required depending on the project location and type of use

Count data should be included in the study appendices.



Trip Generation

Local trip generation surveys should be conducted for at least three similar project sites following the methodology contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Handbook. If locally valid trip generation surveys cannot be conducted, then use of the ITE trip generation rates is allowed but limitations of the data should be fully disclosed especially related to land use context. Trip generation for high truck generating uses such as high cube warehouses, logistics space, etc. shall be determined with City input on a case-by-case basis. The proposed trip generation should be listed in the scoping form for review and approval prior to study initiation.

Trip internalization for mixed use developments (if applicable) should be calculated using state of the practice methodologies. At the time of this memorandum, the EPA's mixed-use trip generation (or MXD) methodology or ITE's mixed use trip generation method are the state of the practice and should be approved by the City prior to use in any studies. Trip internalization calculations (including gross trips, net trips after internalization, and MXD input assumptions (such as intersection density, TOD assumptions, acres, etc.) should be documented in the TIA.

For projects that anticipate the generation of significant truck traffic, all truck trips should be converted into passenger car equivalents (PCE) for the demand analysis or the analyst should adjust the heavy vehicle percentage in the capacity assessment appropriately. If PCE's are used for adjustment, it is recommended that the analyst coordinate with the City in developing appropriate PCE values based on heavy vehicle type.

Trip Distribution

The project's trip distribution should be based on expected origin-destination patterns related to the project's land uses. Preferred methods include the use of mobile device data measuring trip distribution for similar sites or land uses (a minimum of three locations) and select zone assignments from RIVCOM. Other data may be used to help refine trip distribution patterns including the relative location of population, commercial, recreational and employment centers; existing peak hour link and turning movement volumes; ADT volumes; proximity to regional transportation corridors; and knowledge of local and regional traffic circulation. A preliminary trip distribution pattern map should be submitted in the scoping form for review and approval by the City.

The trip distribution may be further refined, after consultation with the City, based on consideration of following factors:

- Type of proposed development
- Location and intensity of development
- Conditions on the roadway network in the vicinity
- Similar land use in the vicinity
- Truck route system
- As directed by the City



Trip Forecasts

For Cumulative Conditions, the adopted Riverside County Travel Demand Model should be used to develop future traffic volume forecasts for the cumulative horizon year. Prior to running the model, the Traffic Study preparer should review the land use growth allocations in the study area to verify that the allocations are representative of the available land supply created by previously approved projects, the general plan, and applicable zoning.

Intersection General Plan Consistency Requirements

Consistent with the acceptable LOS in the City's General Plan, the City considers the following criteria for application in a traffic study to identify infrastructure improvements required to provide acceptable operations. Please note that this analysis will be completed to demonstrate general plan consistency. Specific CEQA thresholds, which are based on VMT requirements, are described later in these guidelines and shall be the sole basis for determining CEQA-related impacts.

Signalized Intersection Operating Requirements

- Any signalized study intersection operating at an acceptable LOS D¹ or better without project traffic in which the addition of project traffic causes the intersection to degrade to a LOS E or F shall identify improvements to improve operations to LOS D or better.
- Any signalized study intersection that is operating at LOS E or F without project traffic where the project increases delay by 5.0 or more seconds shall identify improvements to offset the increase in delay.

¹ The City has established a peak hour Level of Service D or better as acceptable for all intersections along the designated street and highway system. Projects that may result in an increase in traffic must prepare a traffic analysis that evaluates long-term impacts of the project and any mitigation necessary to ensure the project achieves or maintains the peak hour intersection LOS D standard.



Unsignalized Intersection General Plan Consistency Requirements

Consistent with the acceptable LOS for the City's General Plan, the City considers the following unsignalized intersection criteria when identifying operational deficiencies:

An operational improvement would be required if the study determines that either section a) or both sections b) and c) occur:

- a) The addition of project related traffic causes the intersection to degrade from an acceptable LOS D or better to LOS E or F.

OR

- b) The project adds 5.0 seconds or more of delay to an intersection that is already projected to operate without project traffic at a LOS E or F,

AND

- c) The intersection meets the peak hour traffic signal warrant after the addition of project traffic.

If the conditions above are satisfied, improvements should be identified that achieve the following:

- LOS D or better for case a) above or to pre-project LOS and delay for case b) above.

Roadway Segment General Plan Consistency Requirements

Intersections typically provide the transportation constraint on vehicle capacity. As such, these guidelines focus on the evaluation of intersections. However, in some instances, roadway segment evaluation could be appropriate and may be requested by the City.

Consistent with the acceptable LOS for the City, the following roadway segment requirements should be considered and improvements recommended if the project exceeds the noted operational goals:

- Any study roadway segment operating at a LOS D or better without project traffic in which the addition of project traffic causes the segment to degrade to an LOS E or F should identify improvements to achieve LOS D.
- Any roadway segment that operates unacceptably in the no project scenario where the project adds traffic in excess of 5% of the roadway capacity (e.g. a volume-to-capacity ratio increase of 0.05) should identify improvements to add capacity to the segment.



Site Access, Safety, and Other Analyses

A project's TIA should analyze site access and safety around the project and on adjacent streets. The recommended analyses are summarized below.

Site Access Analysis

The following analyses are recommended to improve the project access circulation and to limit driveways and local street access on arterial streets:

- a) **Intersection Sight Distance** – All on-site intersections, project access driveways or streets to public roadways should provide adequate sight distance. Adequate intersection sight distance should be determined using the Caltrans Highway Design Manual or locally developed standards.
- b) **Driveway Length and Gated Entrance** – Primary project driveways should have a throat of sufficient length to allow vehicles to enter the project area without causing subsequent vehicles to back up into the public street system.
- c) **Limit Driveway Impacts** – Driveways and local streets access on arterial streets should be limited to minimize the impacts on arterial streets. Driveways should be located to maintain a reasonable distance from an adjacent intersection and/or driveway. Whenever possible, driveways should be consolidated with adjacent properties.
- d) **Corner Clearance** – A driveway should be a sufficient distance from a signalized intersection so that right-turn egress movements do not interfere with the right-turn queue at the intersection. In addition, every effort should be made to provide right-turn egress movements with sufficient distance to enter the left-turn pocket at the adjacent intersection.
- e) **Right Turn Lanes at Driveways** – If the project right turn peak hour volume is 50 or more vehicles, a right-turn deceleration lane should be reviewed for appropriateness on all driveways accessing major arterial and secondary streets. The length of right turn lane should be sufficient to allow a vehicle traveling at the posted speed to decelerate before entering the driveway as outlined in the Caltrans Highway Design Manual.
- f) Adequacy of pedestrian facilities to/from the project site providing convenient and direct access for those users.
- g) Bicycle accessibility from nearby bike routes to the project site.
- h) Accessibility from adjacent transit stops to/from the project site providing convenient and direct access for those users.



Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

A traffic signal warrant analysis should be performed for all unsignalized study intersections for the project opening year (if applicable) and build-out year conditions. Traffic signal warrant analysis should be performed using the latest edition of the California MUTCD. The warrant analysis should be included in the study appendices.

In determining the location of a new traffic signal on an arterial street or approaching an arterial street, traffic progression and simulation analysis may be required using Synchro/SimTraffic software or equivalent at the direction of the City.

Improvements for Transportation Impacts

As part of the final acceptance of a TIA, the City will review and approve any required improvements and/or fair share contributions necessary to improve the transportation-related deficiencies caused by the proposed development. These requirements will be included as part of the conditions of approval and may be in addition to any improvements required by any other departments. Any transportation improvements based on a transportation study will be in addition to any other fees related to the existing fee programs (unless the needed improvement is already included in an existing fee program, such as TUMF).

Fair share contributions identified in the TIA and subsequently listed in the conditions of approval shall be required before a building permit will be issued. Improvements required in a TIA and subsequently listed in the conditions of approval shall be completed prior to occupancy.

Level of Service Improvements

Improvements for project level impacts should focus on providing operations that offset the project impact (e.g. achieve a "no project" level of service). Improvements could consist of signal timing improvements, lane restriping, or adding new lanes to study facilities.

Cumulative deficiencies should include a fair-share contribution toward achieving acceptable levels of service as noted below. Alternatively, if a cumulative location is included in an existing traffic impact fee program (such as TUMF), payment of those fees would constitute an appropriate contribution.

Finally, the project applicant could revisit the project description in an effort to reduce the project impacts, if viable.

For improvements that are needed where the applicant is not solely responsible, a fair share computation should be computed and reported for each such mitigation. The fair share amount should be calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Fair share percentage} = \frac{\text{project trips}}{\text{project trips} + \text{future development trips}}$$



Trips noted above should correspond to the peak hour where the deficiency occurs for intersection assessment or daily trips for roadway segment impacts. If a project degrades operations during both peak hours, then the analysis should identify the peak hour for fair share assessment that has the highest project burden.



CEQA Assessment - VMT Analysis



A key element of SB 743, signed in 2013, is the elimination of automobile delay and LOS as the sole basis of determining CEQA impacts. The most recent CEQA guidelines, released in December 2018, recommend VMT as the most appropriate measure of project transportation impacts. However, SB 743 does not prevent a city or county from continuing to analyze delay or LOS as part of other plans (i.e., the general plan), studies, or ongoing network monitoring.

Analysis Methodology

For purposes of SB 743 compliance, a VMT analysis shall be conducted for land use projects as deemed necessary by the City and would apply to projects that have the potential to increase the average VMT per service population (e.g. population plus employment) compared to the subregion (WRCOG region). Normalizing VMT per service population essentially provides a transportation efficiency metric that the analysis is based on. Using this efficiency metric allows the user to compare the project to the remainder of the subregional area for purposes of identifying transportation impacts.

These guidelines are based, in part, on the WRCOG Implementation Pathway Study which provides options for both methodologies and VMT screening. Additional information related to the Implementation Pathway Study can be found at <https://www.fehrandpeers.com/wrcog-sb743/>.

Project Screening

There are three types of screening that the City may apply to effectively screen projects from project-level assessment. These screening steps are summarized below:

Step 1: Transit Priority Area (TPA) Screening

Projects located within a TPA² may be presumed to have a less than significant impact absent substantial evidence to the contrary. This presumption may **NOT** be appropriate if the project:

1. Has a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of less than 0.75;
2. Includes 5% or more parking for use by residents, customers, or employees of the project than required by the City;
3. Is inconsistent with the applicable Sustainable Communities Strategy; or

² A TPA is defined as a half mile area around an existing major transit stop or an existing stop along a high quality transit corridor per the definitions below.

Pub. Resources Code, § 21064.3 - 'Major transit stop' means a site containing an existing rail transit station, a ferry terminal served by either a bus or rail transit service, or the intersection of two or more major bus routes with a frequency of service interval of 15 minutes or less during the morning and afternoon peak commute periods.

Pub. Resources Code, § 21155 - For purposes of this section, a 'high-quality transit corridor' means a corridor with fixed route bus service with service intervals no longer than 15 minutes during peak commute hours.



4. Replaces affordable residential units with a smaller number of moderate- or high-income residential units.

Step 2: Low VMT Area Screening

Residential and office projects located within a low VMT-generating area may be presumed to have a less than significant impact absent substantial evidence to the contrary. In addition, other employment-related and mixed-use land use projects may qualify for the use of screening if the project can reasonably be expected to generate VMT per resident, per worker, or per service population that is similar to the existing land uses in the low VMT area.

For this screening, the RIVTAM travel forecasting model was used to measure VMT performance for individual jurisdictions and for individual traffic analysis zones (TAZs). TAZs are geographic polygons similar to Census block groups used to represent areas of homogenous travel behavior. Total daily VMT per service population (population plus employment) was estimated for each TAZ. This presumption may not be appropriate if the project land uses would alter the existing built environment in such a way as to increase the rate or length of vehicle trips.

To identify if the project is in a low VMT-generating area, the analyst may review the WRCOG screening tool and apply the appropriate threshold (identified later in this chapter) within the tool. Additionally, as noted above, the analyst must identify if the project is consistent with the existing land use within that TAZ and use professional judgement that there is nothing unique about the project that would otherwise be mis-represented utilizing the data from the travel demand model.

The WRCOG screening tool can be accessed at <http://gis.fehrandpeers.com/WRCOGVMT/>.

Step 3: Project Type Screening

Local serving retail projects less than 150,000 square feet may be presumed to have a less than significant impact absent substantial evidence to the contrary. Local serving retail generally improves the convenience of shopping close to home and has the effect of reducing vehicle travel. A 2020 HdL Market Insights Report identified over \$351,000,000 of annual sales leakage due to limited market supply within the City³. As a result, City residents are driving to nearby cities including Hemet, Beaumont, Banning, Moreno Valley, Perris and Menifee to purchase goods and services. By providing new, additional, and expanded retail opportunities within the City Limits will result in a net decrease in VMT for the city as residents will be able to shop locally, rather than driving to 15-20 miles to shop in neighboring cities.

Similarly, the City of San Jacinto has a jobs to household imbalance of just 0.5 jobs per household which is below the Trade Area (San Jacinto and Hemet) and Western Riverside County ratios of 0.57 and 0.87 respectively⁴. While about 12 percent of working residents commute the short distance to Hemet, nearly 50 percent of residents commute 10 to 50 miles each way, including to jobs in other Inland Empire cities such as Riverside and Perris. An additional 27 percent commute more than 50 miles each way, including 6 percent who commute to Los Angeles or San Diego—

³ Insight Market Analytics, ECONsolutions by HdL, April 2020

⁴ LEHD; ACS; Economic & Planning Systems (General Plan 2040 Existing Conditions Report)



over 80 miles each way. By establishing local commercial, retail, office, and manufacturing facilities within the City of San Jacinto, the City will be increasing its job base thereby potentially reducing the number of vehicle miles traveled as residents have employment opportunities locally.

The 2012 RIVTAM model performed by Fehr and Peers sets the VMT baseline for the region and its cities. Per the RIVTAM study the City of San Jacinto generates 14.5 Daily Residential Home-Based VMT per Capita and 7.6 Daily Home-Based Work VMT per Worker. According to US Census data, San Jacinto had about 46,000 residents in 2012, and 56.8% (2014-2018 average) were in the workforce.

The City analyzed the 500 Average Daily Trips limit, which corresponds to about a 53-lot single family residential subdivision or 68 multi-family units.

For the 53 single family units, there are 3.71 persons occupying each one, with 2.1 persons working. Multiplying by 14.5 VMT and 7.6 VMT respectively, the total VMT would be 3,628. Given the estimated total VMT for the city is about 1,016,000 miles per day, the 53-lot subdivision would add only about 36-hundredths of 1% (0.0036%) of the VMT for the City.

Similarly, for the multi-family units, the total VMT is 4,746, which is 56-hundredths of 1% (0.0056%) of the total VMT for the City.

For these reasons, the City of San Jacinto has established higher screening criteria for locally serving commercial and manufacturing uses.

In addition to local serving retail, the following uses can also be presumed to have a less than significant impact absent substantial evidence to the contrary as their uses are local serving in nature:

- Local-serving K-12 schools
- Local parks
- Day care centers
- Local-serving gas stations
- Local-serving banks
- Local-serving hotels (e.g. non-destination hotels)
- Student housing projects
- Local serving community colleges that are consistent with the assumptions noted in the RTP/SCS
- Projects generating less than 500 daily vehicle trips
 - This generally corresponds to the following “typical” development potentials:
 - 53 single family housing units
 - 68 multi-family, condominiums, or townhouse housing units
 - 150,000 sq. ft. of local serving commercial
 - 100,000 sq. ft. of office
 - 100,000 sq. ft. of light industrial



- 300,000 sq. ft. of warehousing
- 300,000 sq. ft. of high cube transload and short-term storage warehouse

VMT Assessment for Non-Screened Development

Projects not screened through the steps above should complete VMT analysis and forecasting through the RIVCOM model to determine if they have a significant VMT impact. This analysis should include 'project generated VMT' and 'project effect on VMT' estimates for the project TAZ (or TAZs) under the following scenarios:

- Baseline conditions - This data is already available in the web screening map.
- Baseline plus project for the project - The project land use would be added to the project TAZ or a separate TAZ would be created to contain the project land uses. A full base year model run would be performed and VMT changes would be isolated for the project TAZ and across the full model network. The model output must include reasonableness checks of the production and attraction balancing to ensure the project effect is accurately captured. If this scenario results in a less-than-significant impact, then additional cumulative scenario analysis may not be required (more information about this outcome can be found in the Thresholds Evaluation discussion later in this chapter).
- Cumulative no project - This data is available from the City and WRCOG.
- Cumulative plus project - The project land use would either be added to the project TAZ or a separate TAZ would be created to contain the project land uses. The addition of project land uses should be accompanied by a reallocation of a similar amount of land use from other TAZs; especially if the proposed project is significant in size such that it would change other future developments. Land use projects will generally not change the cumulative no project control totals for population and employment growth. Instead, they will influence the land use supply through changes in general plan land use designations and zoning. If project land uses are simply added to the cumulative no project scenario, then the analysis should reflect this limitation in the methodology and acknowledge that the analysis may overestimate the project's effect on VMT.

The model output should include total VMT, which includes all vehicle trips and trip purposes, and VMT per service population (population plus employment). Total VMT (by speed bin) is needed as an input for air quality, greenhouse gas (GHG), and energy impact analysis while total VMT per service population is needed for transportation impact analysis.

Both "plus project" scenarios noted above will summarize two types of VMT: (1) project generated VMT per service population and comparing it back to the appropriate benchmark noted in the thresholds of significance, and (2) the project effect on VMT, comparing how the project changes VMT on the network looking at subregional VMT per service population and comparing it to the no project condition.



Project-generated VMT shall be extracted from the travel demand forecasting model using the origin-destination trip matrix and shall multiply that matrix by the final assignment skims. The project-effect on VMT shall be estimated using a subregional boundary (WRCOG TUMF Zone boundary) and extracting the total link-level VMT for both the no project and with project condition.

A detailed description of this process is attached to these guidelines.

CEQA VMT Impact Thresholds

VMT Impacts

A project would result in a significant project-generated VMT impact if either of the following conditions are satisfied:

1. The project-generated VMT per service population exceeds the WRCOG Subregional VMT per service population; or
2. The cumulative project-generated VMT per service population exceeds WRCOG Subregional VMT per service population.

The cumulative no project shall reflect the adopted Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy; as such, if a project is consistent with the regional RTP/SCS, then the cumulative impacts shall be considered less than significant subject to consideration of other substantial evidence.

VMT Mitigation Measures

To mitigate VMT impacts, the Developer may choose to implement one or more of the following:

1. Modify the project's built environment characteristics to reduce VMT generated by the project.
2. Diversity the land uses within the project.
3. Improve the pedestrian network.
4. Implement traffic calming infrastructure.
5. Build low-street bicycle network improvements.
6. Encourage telecommuting and alternative work schedules.
7. Provide ride-share programs.
8. Expand transit services.
9. Implement transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures to reduce VMT generated by the project.
10. Participate in a VMT fee program to reduce VMT from the project or other land uses to achieve acceptable levels.
11. Any other recommendations from a Certified Traffic Engineer that will result in a reduction of VMT.

As part of the WRCOG Implementation Pathway Study, key TDM measures that are appropriate to the region were identified and can be accessed at the following location,



<https://www.fehrandpeers.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/TDM-Strategies-Evaluation.pdf>

Evaluation of VMT reductions should be evaluated using state-of-the-practice methodologies recognizing that many of the TDM strategies are dependent on building tenant performance over time. As such, actual VMT reduction cannot be reliably predicted and monitoring may be necessary to gauge performance related to mitigation expectations.



CEQA Assessment - Active Transportation and Public Transit Analysis



Potential impacts to public transit, pedestrian facilities and travel, and bicycle facilities and travel can be evaluated using the following criteria.

- A significant impact occurs if the project conflicts with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decreases the performance or safety of such facilities.

Therefore, the TIA should include analysis of a project to examine if it is inconsistent with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding active transportation or public transit facilities, or otherwise decreases the performance or safety of such facilities and make a determination as to whether it has the potential to conflict with existing or proposed facilities supporting these travel modes.



Transportation Impact Study Format



The required TIA format is as follows:

1. Executive Summary
 - a. Table summarizing significant impacts and mitigation measures
2. Introduction
 - a. Purpose of the TIA and study objective
 - b. Project location and vicinity map (Exhibit)
 - c. Project size and description
 - d. Existing and proposed land use and zoning
 - e. Site plan and proposed project (Exhibit)
 - f. Proposed project opening year and analysis scenarios
3. Methodology and Impact Thresholds
4. Existing Conditions
 - a. Existing roadway network
 - b. Existing traffic control and intersection geometrics (Exhibit)
 - c. Existing traffic volumes – AM and PM peak hour and ADT (Exhibit)
 - d. Existing level of service (LOS) at intersections (Table)
 - e. Existing bicycle facilities (Exhibit)
 - f. Existing transit facilities (Exhibit)
 - g. Existing pedestrian facilities
5. Project Traffic
 - a. Trip generation (Table)
 - b. Trip distribution and assignment (Exhibit)
 - c. Project peak hour turning movements and ADT (Exhibit)
6. Background Conditions (Opening Year) Analysis
 - a. No Project analysis
 - i. Committed (funded) roadway improvements
 - ii. Approved project trip generation (Table, if required)
 - iii. Approved project trip assignment and distribution (Exhibit, if required)
 - iv. Peak turning movement and ADT (Exhibit)
 - v. Intersection level of service (Table)
 - vi. Roadway segment level of service (Table)
 - b. Plus Project analysis
 - i. Plus Project peak turning movement and ADT (Exhibit)
 - ii. Intersection level of service (Table)
 - iii. Roadway segment level of service (Table)
 - iv. Identification of intersection and roadway segment deficiencies
7. Cumulative Year Analysis
 - a. No Project analysis



- i. Committed (funded) roadway improvements
 - ii. Pending projects and verification of how they are included in the travel demand forecasting model
 - iii. Cumulative Year peak turning movement and ADT (Exhibit)
 - iv. Intersection level of service (Table)
 - v. Roadway segment level of service (Table)
 - b. Plus Project Analysis
 - i. Plus Project peak turning movement and ADT (Exhibit)
 - ii. Intersection level of service (Table)
 - iii. Roadway segment level of service (Table)
 - iv. Identification of intersection and roadway segment deficiencies
- 8. Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
- 9. Site Access Analysis
- 10. Safety and Operation Improvement Analysis
- 11. Active Transportation and Public Transit Analysis
- 12. Improvements and Recommendations
 - a. Proposed improvements at intersections
 - b. Proposed improvements at roadway segments
 - c. Recommended Improvements categorized by whether they are included in fee plan or not. (Identify if these improvements are included in an adopted fee program)
- 13. Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Analysis
 - a. Project VMT per person/employee for all analysis scenarios
 - b. Project effect on VMT for all analysis scenarios
 - c. Identification of VMT impacts
 - d. Proposed VMT Mitigation Measures
- 14. Appendix
 - a. Approved scope of work
 - b. Traffic counts
 - c. Intersection analysis worksheets
 - d. VMT and TDM calculations
 - e. VMT and TDM mitigation calculations
 - f. Signal warrant worksheets



Attachments



Project Scoping Form

This scoping form shall be submitted to the City of San Jacinto to assist in identifying infrastructure improvements that may be required to support traffic from the proposed project.

Project Identification:

Case Number:	
Related Cases:	
SP No.	
EIR No.	
GPA No.	
CZ No.	
Project Name:	
Project Address:	
Project Opening Year:	
Project Description:	

	Consultant:	Developer:
Name:		
Address:		
Telephone:		
Fax/Email:		

Trip Generation Information:

Trip Generation Data Source: _____

Current General Plan Land Use:

Proposed General Plan Land Use:

Current Zoning:

Proposed Zoning:



	Existing Trip Generation			Proposed Trip Generation		
	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
AM Trips						
PM Trips						

Trip Internalization: ☐ Yes ☐ No (_____% Trip Discount)

Pass-By Allowance: ☐ Yes ☐ No (_____% Trip Discount)

Potential Screening Checks

Is your project screened from specific analyses (see Page 8 of the guidelines related to LOS assessment and Page 9 related to VMT).

Is the project screened from LOS assessment? ☐ Yes ☐ No

LOS screening justification (see Page 8 of the guidelines): _____

Is the project screened from VMT assessment? ☐ Yes ☐ No

VMT screening justification (see Page 9 of the guidelines): _____



Level of Service Scoping

- Proposed Trip Distribution (Attach Graphic for Detailed Distribution):

North	South	East	West
%	%	%	%

- Attach list of Approved and Pending Projects that need to be considered (provided by the lead agency and adjacent agencies)
- Attach list of study intersections/roadway segments
- Attach site plan
- Note other specific items to be addressed:
 - Site access
 - On-site circulation
 - Parking
 - Consistency with Plans supporting Bikes/Peds/Transit
 - Other _____
- Date of Traffic Counts _____
- Attach proposed analysis scenarios (years plus proposed forecasting approach)
- Attach proposed phasing approach (if the project is phased)

VMT Scoping

For projects that are not screened, identify the following:

- Travel Demand Forecasting Model Used _____
- Attach WRCOG Screening VMT Assessment output or describe why it is not appropriate for use
- Attach proposed Model Land Use Inputs and Assumed Conversion Factors (attach)



Detailed VMT Forecasting Information

Most trip-based models generate daily person trip-ends for each TAZ across various trip purposes (Home-Based Work (HBW), Home-Based Other (HBO), and Non-Home Based (NHB), for example) based on population, household, and employment variables. This may create challenges for complying with the VMT guidance because trip generation is not directly tied to specific land use categories. The following methodology addresses this particular challenge among others.

Production and attraction trip-ends are separately calculated for each zone, and, generally, production trip-ends are generated by residential land uses and attraction trip-ends are generated by non-residential land uses. OPR's guidance addresses residential, office, and retail land uses. Focusing on residential and office land uses, the first step to forecasting VMT requires translating the land use into model terms, the closest approximations are:

- Residential: home-based production trips
- Office: home-based work attraction trips

Note that this excludes all non-home-based trips including work-based other and other-based other trips.

The challenges with computing VMT for these two types of trips in a trip-based model are 1) production and attraction trip-ends are not distinguishable after the PA to OD conversion process and 2) trip purposes are not maintained after the mode choice step. For these reasons, it not possible to use the VMT results from the standard vehicle assignment (even using a select zone re-assignment). A separate post-process must be developed to re-estimate VMT for each zone that includes trip-end types and trip purposes. Two potential approaches to tackle this problem are described below.

Quick and Easy

This approach uses standard model output files and requires minimal custom calculations. It is based on a regional MPO trip-based model with peak (PK) and off-peak (OP) skims and person trip production-attraction (PA) matrices.

- Calculate custom vehicle trip PA matrices from PK and OP person trip matrices
 - Keep trip purposes and modes separate
 - Use average vehicle occupancy rates for drive-alone and shared ride trips
- Use the final congested drive-alone PK and OP skim matrices to estimate trip length between zones
- Multiply the skim matrices by vehicle trip matrices to estimate VMT
- Sum the PK and OP results to estimate daily VMT and aggregate mode trip purpose and mode
- Calculate automobile VMT for individual TAZs using marginal totals:
 - Residential (home-based) - row total
 - Office (home-based work) - column total



Detailed and Complicated

The quick and easy process described above simplifies the approach but does not account for different congestion patterns throughout the day (AM, MD, PM, and NT), the direction of travel (all productions are origins and all attractions are destinations), or the benefits of exclusive lanes (HOV or HOT lanes). This more detailed approach attempts to address these limitations and better estimate the VMT produced by the vehicle assignment model.

- Re-skim final loaded congested networks for each mode and time period
- Run a custom PA to OD process that replicates actual model steps, but:
 - Keeps departure and return trips separate
 - Keeps trip purpose and mode separate
 - Converts person trips to vehicle trips based on auto occupancy rates and isolates automobile trips
 - Factors vehicle trips into assignment time periods
- Multiply appropriate distance skim matrices by custom OD matrices to estimate VMT
- Sum matrices by time period, mode, and trip purpose to calculate daily automobile VMT
- Calculate automobile VMT for individual TAZs using marginal totals:
 - Residential (home-based) - row of departure matrix plus column of return matrix
 - Office (home-based work) - column of departure matrix plus row of return matrix

Appropriateness Checks

Regardless of which method is used, the number of vehicle trips from the custom PA to OD process and the total VMT should match as closely as possible with the results from the traditional model process. The estimated results should be checked against the results from a full model run to understand the degree of accuracy. Note that depending on how each model is setup, these custom processes may or may not include IX/XI trips, truck trips, or special generator trips (airport, seaport, stadium, etc.).

When calculating VMT for comparison at the study area, citywide, or regional geography, the same methodology that was used to estimate project-specific VMT should be used. The VMT for these comparisons can be easily calculated by aggregating the row or column totals for all zones that are within the desired geography.